arXiv:1607.05622v1 [math.NA] 19 Jul 2016

A Weak Galerkin Finite Element Method for Burgers' Equation

Yanli Chen and Tie Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110004, China

We propose a weak Galerkin(WG) finite element method for solving the one-dimensional Burgers' equation. Based on a new weak variational form, both semi-discrete and fully-discrete WG finite element schemes are established and analyzed. We prove the existence of the discrete solution and derive the optimal order error estimates in the discrete H^1-norm and L^2-norm, respectively. Numerical experiments are presented to illustrate our theoretical analysis.

Keywords: weak Galerkin finite element method; Burgers' equation; optimal error estimates.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Burgers' equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \nu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad x \in I, \quad t \in J, \tag{1a}$$

with the initial-boundary conditions

$$u(x,0) = g(x), \quad \forall x \in I, \tag{1b}$$

$$u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in J, \tag{1c}$$

where $I = (0,1)$, $J = (0,T]$ with $T < \infty$, u is the unknown velocity, $\nu = \frac{1}{Re}$, Re is the Reynolds number and $g(x)$ is a given function.

Burgers' equation (1a) has been widely used for various applications, such as modeling of gas dynamics and turbulence [1], describing wave propagation in acoustics and

Correspondence to: Yanli Chen, Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110004, China (e-mail: chenyanli@mail.neu.edu.cn)

Contract grant sponsor: Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, No. 02060022116002; and the State Key Laboratory of Synthetical Automation for Process Industries Fundamental Research Funds, No. 2013ZCX02; and the National Natural Science Funds of China, No. 11371081.

hydrodynamics [2], etc. More importantly, Burgers' equation is an appropriate simplified form of the Navier-Stokes equations. Thus, it is anticipated that the crucial point in approximating the solution of the Navier-Stokes equations may be found by numerical simulation of Burgers' equation. Furthermore, Burgers' equation will become a hyperbolic equation when the viscosity ν tends to zero. Therefore, a high performance numerical method for Burgers' equation may pave the way for solving first order hyperbolic equation.

Due to the importance of the Burgers' equation, various numerical methods were proposed in the past decades. These methods mainly include finite difference, finite volume, finite element, boundary element and spectral methods, etc., see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], and the references therein.

Recently, the WG finite element method has attracted much attention in the field of numerical partial differential equations [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. This method was presented originally by Wang and Ye for solving general elliptic problems in multi-dimensional domain [14]. Since then, some WG finite element methods have been developed to solve parabolic equations [16], Stokes equations [21]and Biharmonic Equations [19, 26], etc. In the present work, the WG finite element method is applied to one-dimensional Burgers' equation. In order to make the weak finite element equation have a positive property, we present a special weak form for problem (1). Based on this weak form, we establish both semi-discrete and fully-discrete WG finite element schemes and derive the optimal order error estimates in the discrete H^1-norm, the L^2-norm, respectively.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we establish a special weak form for problem (1) and introduce the definition of discrete weak derivative. Section 3 is devoted to semi-discrete WG finite element method. In Section 4, We construct fully-discrete WG finite element scheme and prove the optimal order error estimates. In Section 5, numerical experiments are presented to show the efficacy of the WG finite element method and confirm our theoretical analysis.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the notations $H^s(\tilde{I})$ to indicate the usual Sobolev spaces on subinterval $\tilde{I} \subset I$ equipped with the inner products $(\cdot,\cdot)_{s,\tilde{I}}$, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{s,\tilde{I}}$ and the seminorms $|\cdot|_{s,\tilde{I}}$, for any $s \geq 0$. Denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\tilde{I}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{I}}$ the $L^2(\tilde{I})$-inner

product and $L^2(\tilde{I})$-norms, respectively. If $\tilde{I} = I$, we shall drop the subscript $\tilde{I}$ in the inner product and norm notations.

The space $H^l(0,T;H^s(I))$ is defined as

$$H^l(0,T;H^s(I)) = \{v \in H^s(I); \int_0^T \sum_{1\le i\le l} \Big\| \frac{d^i v(t)}{dt^i} \Big\|_{s,I}^2 dt < \infty\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H^l(H^s(I))} = \Big(\int_0^T \sum_{1\le i\le l} \Big\| \frac{d^i v(t)}{dt^i} \Big\|_{s,I}^2 dt \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Especially, if $l = 0$, let

$$\|v\|_{L^2(H^s(I))} = \Big(\int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{s,I}^2 dt \Big)^{1/2}.$$

2 Weak Variational Form and Discrete Weak Derivative

In order to define the weak Galerkin finite element approximation to problem (1), we first need to derive a special weak variational form associated with problem (1).

Multiplying equation (1a) by $v \in H_0^1(I)$ and integrating, we obtain

$$(u_t, v) + (uu_x, v) + \nu(u_x, v_x) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (uu_x, v) &= \frac{1}{3}(uu_x, v) + \frac{1}{3}((u^2)_x, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(uu_x, v) - \frac{1}{3}(uu, v_x). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into equation (1), we see that a weak form for problem (1) is to find $u \in H^1(0,T;H_0^1(I))$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (u_t, v) + \nu(u_x, v_x) + \frac{1}{3}(uu_x, v) - \frac{1}{3}(uu, v_x) = 0, \ \forall v \in H_0^1(I), \ t \in J, \\ u(x,0) = g(x), \quad x \in I. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Let

$$a(w;u,v) = \nu(u_x, v_x) + \frac{1}{3}(wu_x, v) - \frac{1}{3}(wu, v_x).$$

Obviously, for any given w, $a(w;u,v)$ is positive define, i.e.,

$$a(w;u,u) \ge \nu\|u\|_1^2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(I).$$

We now introduce the concepts of discrete weak derivative. Let closed interval $\bar{I}_a = [x_a, x_b]$ and its interior $I_a = (x_a, x_b)$. A weak function on $\bar{I}_a$ refers to a function $v = \{v^0, v^a, v^b\}$, where $v^0 = v|_{I_a}$,$v^a = v(x_a)$ and $v^b = v(x_b)$. Note that v^a and v^b may not be necessarily the trace of v^0 at the interval endpoints x_a and x_b. Define the weak function space by

$$W(I_a) = \{v = \{v^0, v^a, v^b\} : v^0 \in L^2(I_a),\ |v^a| + |v^b| < \infty\}.$$

For any integer $r \geq 0$, let $P_r(I_a)$ be the space composed of all polynomials on I_a with degree no more than r.

Definition 1. *For $v \in W(I_a)$, the discrete weak derivative $d_{w,r}v \in P_r(I_a)$ is defined as the unique solution of the following equation*

$$\int_{I_a} d_{w,r}vqdx = -\int_{I_a} v^0 q' dx + v^b q^b - v^a q^a, \quad \forall q \in P_r(I_a), \tag{3}$$

where $q^a = q(x_a)$, $q^b = q(x_b)$.

3 Semi-discrete WG Finite Element Method

The goal of this section is to develop semi-discrete WG finite element method for problem (1) and derive error estimates in the discrete H^1-norm and L^2-norm, respectively.

3.1 Semi-discrete WG Finite Element Scheme

Let $I_h : 0 = x_1 < x_2 \cdots < x_{N-1} < x_N = 1$ be a partition of interval $I = (0, 1)$, $I_i = (x_i, x_{i+1})$ be the partition element. Denote the meshsize by $h = \max_i h_i$, where $h_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$, $i = 1, 2 \cdots, N-1$.

For any given integer $k \geq 0$, the discrete weak function space associated with partition I_h is defined by

$$W(I_h, k) = \{v = \{v^0, v^L, v^R\} : v|_{I_i} \in W(I_i, k),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1\}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$W(I_i, k) = \{v = \{v^0, v^i, v^{i+1}\} : v^0 \in P_k(I_i),\ |v^i| + |v^{i+1}| < \infty\}. \tag{5}$$

Note that for a weak function $v|_{I_i} \in W(I_i, k)$, the endpoint values v^i and v^{i+1} may be independent of the interior value v^0. Let $I_L = (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ and $I_R = (x_i, x_{i+1})$ be two elements sharing the endpoints x_i. For a weak function $v \in W(I_h, k)$, set $v|_{\bar{I}_L} = \{v_L^0, v_L^{i-1}, v_L^i\}$ and $v|_{\bar{I}_R} = \{v_R^0, v_R^i, v_R^{i+1}\}$. We define the jump of weak function v at point x_i by

$$[v]_{x_i} = v_R^i - v_L^i, \quad v \in W(I_h, k).$$

It is obvious that v is single value at at point x_i if and only if $[v]_{x_i} = 0$. The weak finite element space S_h is defined by

$$S_h = \{v : v \in W(I_h, k), [v]_{x_i} = 0,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1\}. \tag{6}$$

We refer to S_h^0 as the subspace of S_h with vanish boundary value on endpoints of the interval $[0, 1]$; i.e.,

$$S_h^0 = \{v : v \in S_h, v^1 = 0, v^N = 0\}. \tag{7}$$

Denote the discrete L^2 inner product and norm by

$$(u, v)_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u, v)_{I_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{I_i} uv dx, \quad \|u\|_h^2 = (u, u)_h.$$

Based on the variational formulation (2), we define semi-discrete WG finite element method by finding $u_h(t) \in S_h^0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \left((u_h^0)_t, v^0\right)_h + \nu(d_{w,r}u_h, d_{w,r}v)_h + \dfrac{1}{3}(u_h^0 d_{w,r}u_h, v^0)_h \\ -\dfrac{1}{3}(u_h^0 u_h^0, d_{w,r}v)_h = 0, \quad \forall v \in S_h^0,\ t \in J, \\ u_h(x, 0) = g_h(x), \quad x \in I, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $g_h \in S_h^0$ is a proper approximation of function g.

Taking $v = u_h$ in equation (8), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_h^0\|_h^2 + \nu\|d_{w,r}u_h\|_h^2 = 0.$$

Integrating with respect to t leads to

$$\|u_h^0(t)\|_h^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|d_{w,r}u_h(s)\|_h^2 ds = \|u_h^0(0)\|_h^2,$$

which together with the continuation theorem guarantee the existence of solution u_h of problem (8).

3.2 Error Analysis

In this subsection, we shall derive error estimates for the semi-discrete WG finite element method in the discrete H^1-norm and L^2-norm, respectively.

To balance the approximation accuracy between spaces S_h and $P_r(I_i)$ used to compute $d_{w,r}u_h$, from now on, we always set the index $r = k+1$ in equations (3) and (6).

For $l \geq 0$, let P_h^l is the local L^2 projection operator, restricted on each element I_i, $P_h^l : u \in L^2(I_i) \to P_h^l u \in P_l(I_i)$ such that

$$(u - P_h^l u, q)_{I_i} = 0, \quad \forall q \in P_l(I_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1.$$

By the Bramble-Hilbert lemma, it is easy to prove that

$$\|u - P_h^l u\|_{I_i} + h_i \|u - P_h^l u\|_{1,I_i} \leq C h_i^s \|u\|_{s,I_i}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l+1. \tag{9}$$

We now define a projection operator $Q_h : u \in H^1(I) \to Q_h u \in W(I_h, k)$ such that

$$Q_h u|_{\bar{I}_i} = \{Q_h^0 u, (Q_h u)^i, (Q_h u)^{i+1}\} = \{P_h^k u, u(x_i), u(x_{i+1})\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1.$$

Obviously, $Q_h u \in S_h^0$ if $u \in H_0^1(I)$. It follows from (9) that

$$\|Q_h^0 u - u\|_{I_i} \leq C h_i^s \|u\|_{s,I_i}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k+1. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, using the definition of operator Q_h and the discrete weak derivative $d_{w,r}$ in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_i} d_{w,r} Q_h u q dx &= -\int_{I_i} Q_h^0 u q' dx + (Q_h u)^{i+1} q^{i+1} - (Q_h u)^i q^i \\ &= -\int_{I_i} u q' dx + u^{i+1} q^{i+1} - u^i q^i \\ &= \int_{I_i} u' q dx, \qquad \forall q \in P_r(I_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{w,r} Q_h u = P_h^r u'$ and (noting that $r = k+1$)

$$\|d_{w,r} Q_h u - u'\|_{I_i} \leq C h_i^s \|u\|_{s+1,I_i}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k+2. \tag{11}$$

Estimates (10) and (11) show that $Q_h u \in S_h^0$ is a very good approximation for function $u \in H_0^1(I) \cap H^{s+1}(I)$, $s \geq 0$.

For error analysis, we still need to introduce the following projection function, which can be found in [27].

Lemma 1. *For $u \in H^1(I)$, there exists a projection function $\pi_h u \in H^1(I)$, restricted on element I_i, $\pi_h u \in P_r(I_i)$ satisfies(see [27] for details)*

$$\big((\pi_h u)', q\big)_{I_i} = (u', q)_{I_i}, \quad \forall q \in P_k(I_i),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1, \tag{12}$$

$$\pi_h u(x_i) = u(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N, \tag{13}$$

$$\|u - \pi_h u\|_{I_i} + h_i \|u' - (\pi_h u)'\|_{I_i} \le C h_i^{s+1} \|u\|_{s+1}, \quad 0 \le s \le k+1. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $u(t) \in H^1(0, T; H^2(I))$ be the solution of problem (1). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_t, v^0)_h + \nu(\pi_h u_x, d_{w,r} v)_h + \frac{1}{3}(u u_x, v^0)_h \\ &- \frac{1}{3}(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r} v)_h = 0,\ \forall v \in S_h^0,\ t \in J. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of the system (1) by v^0 and integrating, we obtain

$$(u_t, v^0)_h + (u u_x, v^0)_h - \nu(u_{xx}, v^0)_h = 0, \quad \forall v \in S_h^0, \quad t \in J. \tag{16}$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} (u u_x, v^0)_h &= \frac{1}{3}(u u_x, v^0)_h + \frac{1}{3}\big((u^2)_x, v^0\big)_h \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(u u_x, v^0)_h + \frac{1}{3}\big((\pi_h u^2)_x, v^0\big)_h \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By the definition of operator $d_{w,r}$, we have

$$\big((\pi_h u^2)_x, v^0\big)_{I_i} = -(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r} v)_{I_i} + (\pi_h u^2)^{i+1} v^{i+1} - (\pi_h u^2)^i v^i, \quad \forall v \in S_h^0,$$

Summing and noting that $v^1 = 0$ and $v^N = 0$, it leads to

$$\big((\pi_h u^2)_x, v^0\big)_h = -(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r} v)_h. \tag{18}$$

Combining (17) with (18) yields

$$(u u_x, v^0)_h = \frac{1}{3}(u u_x, v^0)_h - \frac{1}{3}(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r} v)_h \tag{19}$$

Similarly, following equation (12) and the definition of operator $d_{w,r}$, for any $v \in S_h^0$, it holds

$$-(u_{xx}, v^0)_h = -\big((\pi_h u_x)_x, v^0\big)_h = (\pi_h u_x, d_{w,r} v)_h, \tag{20}$$

Substituting (19) and (20) into (16), we complete the proof. ■

Theorem 1. *Let $u(x,t)$ and $u_h(x,t)$ be the solution of problems (1) and (8), respectively, $u \in H^1(0,T;H^{1+r}(I))$ and $g_h = Q_h g$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u-u_h^0\|_h^2 + \nu\int_0^t \|u_x(s) - d_{w,r}u_h(s)\|_h^2 ds \\ \leq\ & Ch^{2(k+1)}\Big(\|u\|_{k+1}^2 + \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{k+2}^2 ds\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Subtracting (8) from (15), and using $(Q_h^0 u_t, v^0)_h = (u_t, v^0)_h$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \big(Q_h^0 u_t - (u_h^0)_t, v^0\big)_h + \nu\big(d_{w,r}(Q_h u - u_h), d_{w,r}v\big)_h \\ =\ & \frac{1}{3}(u_h^0 d_{w,r}u_h, v^0)_h - \frac{1}{3}(uu_x, v^0)_h \\ +\ & \frac{1}{3}(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r}v)_h - \frac{1}{3}(u_h^0 u_h^0, d_{w,r}v)_h \\ +\ & \nu(d_{w,r}Q_h u, d_{w,r}v)_h - \nu(\pi_h u_x, d_{w,r}v)_h \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Let $e_h = Q_h u - u_h$. Taking $v = e_h$ in equation (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \nu\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \\ =\ & \frac{1}{3}(u_h^0 d_{w,r}u_h, e_h^0)_h - \frac{1}{3}(uu_x, e_h^0)_h \\ +\ & \frac{1}{3}(\pi_h u^2, d_{w,r}e_h)_h - \frac{1}{3}(u_h^0 u_h^0, d_{w,r}e_h)_h \\ +\ & \nu(d_{w,r}Q_h u, d_{w,r}e_h)_h - \nu(\pi_h u_x, d_{w,r}e_h)_h \\ =\ & E_1 + E_2 + E_3. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

In what follows, we estimate E_1, E_2 and E_3 in equation (22).

To estimate E_1 and E_2, we can rewritten E_1 and E_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 =\ & \frac{1}{3}\Big(\big(u_h^0 d_{w,r}(u_h - Q_h u), e_h^0\big)_h + \big((u_h^0 - Q_h^0 u)d_{w,r}Q_h u, e_h^0\big)_h \\ & + \big((Q_h^0 u - u)d_{w,r}Q_h u, e_h^0\big)_h + \big(u(d_{w,r}Q_h u - u_x), e_h^0\big)_h\Big), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 =\ & \frac{1}{3}\Big((\pi_h u^2 - u^2, d_{w,r}e_h)_h + \big((u + Q_h^0 u)(u - Q_h^0 u), d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h \\ & + \big(Q_h^0 u(Q_h^0 u - u_h^0), d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h + \big(u_h^0(Q_h^0 u - u_h^0), d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h\Big) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term in E_1 is the same as the last term in E_2 except for the sign.

Thus, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1+E_2 \\ = \; & \frac{1}{3}\Big((-e_h^0 d_{w,r}Q_h u, e_h^0)_h + \big((Q_h^0 u-u)d_{w,r}Q_h u, e_h^0\big)_h \\ + \; & \big(u(d_{w,r}Q_h u-u_x), e_h^0\big)_h + (\pi_h u^2-u^2, d_{w,r}e_h)_h \\ + \; & \big((u+Q_h^0 u)(u-Q_h^0 u), d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h + (Q_h^0 u e_h^0, d_{w,r}e_h)_h\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

It follows from ε-inequality and $d_{w,r}Q_h u = P_h^r u_x$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1+E_2| \; \leq \; & \frac{1}{3}\Big(\|u_x\|_\infty^2\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|_\infty^2\|u-Q_h^0 u\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|e_h^0\|_h^2 \\ + \; & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\infty^2\|d_{w,r}Q_h u-u_x\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|e_h^0\|_h^2 \\ + \; & \frac{1}{\nu}\|\pi_h u^2-u^2\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \\ + \; & \frac{1}{\nu}\|u\|_\infty^2\|u-Q_h^0 u\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \\ + \; & \frac{1}{\nu}\|u\|_\infty^2\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

To estimate E_3, we rewritten E_3 as follow:

$$E_3 = \nu(d_{w,r}Q_h u-u_x, d_{w,r}v)_h + \nu(u_x-\pi_h u_x, d_{w,r}v)_h.$$

Using ε-inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E_3| \; \leq \; & 2\nu\|d_{w,r}Q_h u-u_x\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{8}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \\ + \; & 2\nu\|u_x-\pi_h u_x\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{8}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Substituting (24) and (25) into (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \\ \leq \; & \frac{1}{3}(\|u_x\|_\infty^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{\nu}\|u\|_\infty^2)\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|_\infty^2 + \frac{1}{\nu}\|u\|_\infty^2)\|u-Q_h^0 u\|_h^2 \\ + \; & (\frac{1}{6}\|u\|_\infty^2 + 2\nu)\|d_{w,r}Q_h u-u_x\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{3\nu}\|\pi_h u^2-u^2\|_h^2 + 2\nu\|u_x-\pi_h u_x\|_h^2, \end{aligned}$$

together with (10), (11) and (14), it yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \leq C(\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + h^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+2}^2).$$

Integrating with respect to t (noting that $e_h(0)=0$) and using the Gronwall lemma, we can get the following estimate

$$\|e_h^0\|_h^2 + \nu\int_0^t \|d_{w,r}e_h(s)\|_h^2 ds \leq Ch^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{k+2}^2 ds. \tag{26}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, approximation property (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u-u_h^0\|_h^2+\nu\int_0^t\|u_x(s)-d_{w,r}u_h(s)\|_h^2ds\\
\leq\ & C\Big(\|u-Q_h^0u\|_h^2+\|Q_h^0u-u_h^0\|_h^2+\nu\int_0^t\|u_x(s)-d_{w,r}Q_hu(s)\|_h^2ds\\
+\ & \nu\int_0^t\|d_{w,r}Q_hu(s)-d_{w,r}u_h(s)\|_h^2ds\Big)\\
\leq\ & C\Big(h^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+1}^2+h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Theorem 2. *Let $u(x,t)$ and $u_h(x,t)$ be the solution of problems (1) and (8), respectively, $u\in H^1(0,T;H^{1+r}(I))$ and $g_h=Q_hg$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|u_x-d_{w,r}u_h\|_h^2\leq Ch^{2(k+1)}\Big(\|u(0)\|_{k+2}^2+\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\Big). \tag{27}$$

Proof. Taking $v=(e_h)_t$ in equation (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\
=\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(u_h^0d_{w,r}u_h,(e_h^0)_t\big)_h-\frac{1}{3}\big(uu_x,(e_h^0)_t\big)_h\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(\pi_hu^2,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h-\frac{1}{3}\big(u_h^0u_h^0,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h\\
+\ & \nu\big(d_{w,r}Q_hu,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h-\nu\big(\pi_hu_x,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h,\\
=\ & R_1+R_2+R_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

On the analogy of (23), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_1+R_2\\
=\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(-e_h^0d_{w,r}Q_hu,(e_h^0)_t\big)_h+\frac{1}{3}\big((Q_h^0u-u)d_{w,r}Q_hu,(e_h^0)_t\big)_h\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(u(d_{w,r}Q_hu-u_x),(e_h^0)_t\big)_h+\frac{1}{3}\big(\pi_hu^2-u^2,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}\big((u+Q_h^0u)(u-Q_h^0u),d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h+\frac{1}{3}\big(Q_h^0ue_h^0,d_{w,r}(e_h)_t\big)_h\\
=\ & W_1+W_2+W_3+W_4+W_5+W_6
\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

Using ε-inequality, (10), (11) and $d_{w,r}Q_hu=P_h^ru_x$, we can bound W_1, W_2 and W_3 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1\ &\leq\ \frac{1}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{3}\|u_x\|_\infty^2\|e_h^0\|_h^2+\frac{3}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2\Big)\\
&\leq\ \frac{1}{9}\|u_x\|_\infty^2\|e_h^0\|_h^2+\frac{1}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2
\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &\leq \frac{1}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{3}\|u_x\|_\infty^2\|Q_h^0u-u\|_h^2+\frac{3}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2\Big)\\ &\leq Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+1}^2+\frac{1}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_3 &\leq \frac{1}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{3}\|u\|_\infty^2\|d_{w,r}Q_hu-u_x\|_h^2+\frac{3}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2\Big)\\ &\leq Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In the following, we estimate W_4, W_5 and W_6 in (29). For the term W_4, we use Cauchy inequality and approximation property (14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_4 &= \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_hu^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h-\frac{1}{3}\big(\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_hu^2-u^2),d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h\\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_hu^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\frac{1}{6}\|\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_hu^2-u^2)\|_h^2+\frac{1}{6}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_hu^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u_t\|_{k+1}^2+\frac{1}{6}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the terms W_5 and W_6 can be bounded similarly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_5 &\leq \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}\big((u+Q_h^0u)(u-Q_h^0u),d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h+Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+1}^2\\ &+ Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u_t\|_{k+1}^2+\frac{1}{3}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_6 &\leq \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(Q_h^0ue_h^0,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\frac{1}{6}\|u_t\|_\infty^2\|e_h^0\|_h^2+\frac{1}{6}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2+\frac{1}{9}\|u\|_\infty^2\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the estimates for $W_1\sim W_6$ into (29), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} R_1+R_2 &\leq (\frac{1}{9}\|u_x\|_\infty^2+\frac{1}{6}\|u_t\|_\infty^2)\|e_h^0\|_h^2+Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+2}^2\\ &+ Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u_t\|_{k+1}^2+(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{9}\|u\|_\infty^2)\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\ &+ \|(e_h^0)_t\|_h^2+\frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(\pi u^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h\\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}\big((u+Q_h^0u)(u-Q_h^0u),d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h\\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(Q_h^0ue_h^0,d_{w,r}e_h)_h. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Similarly, we obtain the estimate for R_3,

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &\leq Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u_t\|_{k+2}^2+\nu\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\ &+ \nu\frac{d}{dt}(d_{w,r}Q_hu-u_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h\\ &+ \nu\frac{d}{dt}(u_x-\pi_hu_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It follows from (28), (33) and (34) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\
\leq\ & (\frac{1}{9}\|u_x\|_\infty^2+\frac{1}{6}\|u_t\|_\infty^2)\|e_h^0\|_h^2+Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+2}^2\\
+\ & Ch^{2(k+1)}\|u_t\|_{k+2}^2+(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{9}\|u\|_\infty^2+\nu)\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(\pi u^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}\big((u+Q_h^0u)(u-Q_h^0u),d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}(Q_h^0ue_h^0,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\nu\frac{d}{dt}(d_{w,r}Q_hu-u_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h\\
+\ & \nu\frac{d}{dt}(u_x-\pi_hu_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, integrating with respect to t (noting that $d_{w,r}e_h(0)=0$) and using estimate (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 \leq\ & C\Big(h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds+h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\Big)\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}(\pi u^2-u^2,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\frac{1}{3}\big((u+Q_h^0u)(u-Q_h^0u),d_{w,r}e_h\big)_h\\
+\ & \frac{1}{3}(Q_h^0ue_h^0,d_{w,r}e_h)_h+\nu(d_{w,r}Q_hu-u_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h\\
+\ & \nu(u_x-\pi_hu_x,d_{w,r}e_h)_h
\end{aligned}$$

Using ε-inequality, (10), (11) and (14), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|d_{w,r}e_h\|_h^2 &\leq C\Big(h^{2(k+1)}\|u\|_{k+2}^2+h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\\
&\quad+h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\Big)\\
&\leq C\Big(h^{2(k+1)}\|u(0)\|_{k+2}^2+h^{2(k+1)}\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|_{k+2}^2ds\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, we have the desired error estimate (27). ■

4 Fully-discrete WG Finite Element Method

In this section, we shall establish the fully-discrete WG Finite Element Method for problem (1) and derive error estimates in the discrete H^1-norm and L^2-norm, respectively.

4.1 Fully-discrete WG Finite Element Scheme

Let $0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_M=T$ be a partition for time interval $[0,T]$, where $t_n=n\tau,\tau=T/M$, M is a positive integer. We refer to $U_n\in S_h^0$ as the approximation of

$u(t_n)$ to be determined. Using a backward difference quotient $\bar{\partial}_t U_n = (U_n - U_{n-1})/\tau$ to approximate $(u_h)_t$ in problem (8), we obtain the fully-discrete WG finite element scheme: find $U_n \in S_h^0$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots, M)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\bar{\partial}_t U_n^0, v^0)_h + \nu(d_{w,r}U_n, d_{w,r}v)_h + \dfrac{1}{3}(U_n^0 d_{w,r}U_n, v^0)_h \\ -\dfrac{1}{3}(U_n^0 U_n^0, d_{w,r}v)_h = 0, \ \forall v \in S_h^0, \ n = 1, \cdots, M, \\ U_0 = g_h, \quad x \in I, \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

where g_h is a proper approximation of function g.

Lemma 3. *There exists a solution $U_n \in S_h^0$, $\quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, M$ satisfying problem (35).*

Proof. Let U_{n-1} be given. Define mapping $F : W_n \in S_h^0 \to U_n = F(W_n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\bar{\partial}_t U_n^0, v^0)_h + \nu(d_{w,r}U_n, d_{w,r}v)_h + \dfrac{1}{3}(W_n^0 d_{w,r}U_n, v^0)_h \\ -\dfrac{1}{3}(W_n^0 U_n^0, d_{w,r}v)_h = 0, \ \forall v \in S_h^0, \ n \geq 0 \\ U_0 = g_h \quad x \in I. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Taking $v = U_n$ in (36) and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\|U_n^0\|_h^2 + 2\tau\nu\|d_{w,r}U_n\|_h^2 \leq \|U_{n-1}^0\|_h^2. \tag{37}$$

This stability shows that for given $W_n \in S_h^0$, the linear system of equations (36) has a unique solution $U_n \in S_h^0$; that is, the mapping F is well posed.

Let bounded set $D_h = \{U_n \in S_h^0 : \|U_n^0\|_h^2 + 2\tau\nu\|d_{w,r}U_n\|_h^2 \leq \|U_{n-1}^0\|_h^2\}$. The estimate (37) shows that F is a mapping from D_h into D_h. So according to the Brower fixed theorem, for given U_{n-1}, problem (35) has a solution $U_n \in S_h^0$. ■

4.2 Error Analysis

We are ready to derive some error estimates for the fully-discrete time WG approximation U_n as the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *Let u and U_n be the solution of problems (1) and (35), respectively, $u \in H^2(0, T; H^{1+r}(I))$ and $g_h = Q_h g$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|u(t_n) - U_n^0\|_h^2 \leq C\Big(h^{2(k+1)} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 + \tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} \|u_{tt}(s)\|^2 ds\Big).$$

Proof. Set $t = t_n$ in equation (15) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(u_t(t_n), v^0\big)_h + \nu\big(\pi_h u_x(t_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h\\ &+\frac{1}{3}\big(u(t_n)u_x(t_n), v^0\big)_h - \frac{1}{3}\big(\pi_h u^2(t_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Subtracting (35) from (38) and using $\big(\bar\partial_t Q_h^0 u(t_n), v^0\big)_h = \big(\bar\partial_t u(t_n), v^0\big)_h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\bar\partial_t(Q_h^0 u(t_n) - U_n^0), v\big)_h + \nu\big(d_{w,r}(Q_h u(t_n) - U_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h\\ =\ & \frac{1}{3}(U_n^0 d_{w,r}U_n, v^0)_h - \frac{1}{3}\big(u(t_n)u_x(t_n), v^0\big)_h\\ +\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(\pi_h u^2(t_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h - \frac{1}{3}(U_n^0 U_n^0, d_{w,r}v)_h\\ +\ & \nu\big(d_{w,r}Q_h u(t_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h - \nu\big(\pi_h u_x(t_n), d_{w,r}v\big)_h\\ +\ & \big(\bar\partial_t u(t_n) - u_t(t_n), v^0\big)_h\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Let $e_n = Q_h u(t_n) - U_n$, and taking $v = e_n$ in (39), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\tau}\|e_n^0\|_h^2 - \frac{1}{\tau}(e_{n-1}^0, e_n^0)_h + \nu\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2\\ =\ & \frac{1}{3}(U_n^0 d_{w,r}U_n, e_n^0)_h - \frac{1}{3}\big(u(t_n)u_x(t_n), e_n^0\big)_h\\ +\ & \frac{1}{3}\big(\pi_h u^2(t_n), d_{w,r}e_n\big)_h - \frac{1}{3}(U_n^0 U_n^0, d_{w,r}e_n)_h\\ +\ & \nu\big(d_{w,r}Q_h u(t_n), d_{w,r}e_n\big)_h - \nu\big(\pi_h u_x(t_n), d_{w,r}e_n\big)_h\\ +\ & \big(\bar\partial_t u(t_n) - u_t(t_n), e_n^0\big)_h\\ =\ & F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Similar to the argument we make in Theorem 1, the first three terms on the right hand side of (40) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}|F_1 + F_2| \ \le\ & \frac{1}{3}\Big(\|u_x(t_n)\|_\infty^2\|e_n^0\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_x(t_n)\|_\infty^2\|Q_h^0 u(t_n) - u(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|e_n^0\|_h^2\\ +\ & \frac{1}{2}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2\|d_{w,r}Q_h u(t_n) - u_x(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|e_n^0\|_h^2\\ +\ & \frac{1}{2\nu}\|\pi_h u^2(t_n) - u^2(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2\\ +\ & \frac{1}{2\nu}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2\|u(t_n) - Q_h^0 u(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2\\ +\ & \frac{1}{2\nu}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2\|e_n^0\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|F_3| \ \le\ & \nu\|d_{w,r}Q_h u(t_n) - u_x(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2\\ +\ & \nu\|u_x(t_n) - \pi_h u_x(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|d_{w,r}e_n\|_h^2.\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

For the term F_4, we have

$$|F_4| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{\partial}_t u(t_n) - u_t(t_n)\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|e_n^0\|_h^2. \tag{43}$$

Substituting (41), (42) and (43) into (40), and using ε-inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_n^0\|_h^2 \quad \leq \quad & \|e_{n-1}^0\|_h^2 + 2\tau\Big(\frac{1}{3}\|u_x(t_n)\|_\infty^2 + \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{6\nu}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2\Big)\|e_n^0\|_h^2 \\
& + 2\tau\Big(\frac{1}{6}\|u_x(t_n)\|_\infty^2 + \frac{1}{6\nu}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2\Big)\|Q_h^0 u(t_n) - u(t_n)\|_h^2 \\
& + 2\tau\Big(\frac{1}{6}\|u(t_n)\|_\infty^2 + \nu\Big)\|d_{w,r}Q_h u(t_n) - u_x(t_n)\|_h^2 \\
& + \frac{\tau}{3\nu}\|\pi_h u^2(t_n) - u^2(t_n)\|_h^2 + 2\tau\nu\|u_x(t_n) - \pi_h u_x(t_n)\|_h^2 \\
& + \tau\|\bar{\partial}_t u(t_n) - u_t(t_n)\|_h^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By repeated application(noting that $e_0 = 0$), and together with (10), (11), and (14), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_n^0\|_h^2 \quad \leq \quad & C\tau\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|e_j^0\|_h^2 + C\tau h^{2(k+1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 \\
& + \tau\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|\bar{\partial}_t u(t_j) - u_t(t_j)\|_h^2
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tau\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 \leq n\tau\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 \leq T\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2, \tag{46}$$

and

$$\|\bar{\partial}_t u(t_j) - u_t(t_j)\|_h^2 \leq C\tau\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j}\|u_{tt}(s)\|_h^2 ds. \tag{47}$$

Thus, substituting (46) and (47) into (45)and using the discrete Gronwall lemma, we have

$$\|e_n^0\|_h^2 \leq C\Big(h^{2(k+1)}\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 + \tau^2\int_0^{t_n}\|u_{tt}(s)\|_h^2 ds\Big),$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, we complete the proof. ■

By a similar argument to that of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we can obtain the following error estimate for $\|u_x(t_n) - d_{w,r}U_n\|_h$. Due to space limitations, we omit the proof.

Theorem 4. *Let u and U_n be the solution of problems (1) and (35), respectively, $u \in H^2(0,T;H^{1+r}(I))$ and $g_h = Q_h g$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_x(t_n) - d_{w,r}U_n\|_h^2 &\leq C\Big(h^{2(k+1)} \max_{1\leq j\leq n} \|u(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 \\ &+ h^{2(k+1)} \max_{1\leq j\leq n} \|u_t(t_j)\|_{k+2}^2 + \tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} \|u_{tt}(s)\|_{k+2}^2 ds\Big).\end{aligned}$$

5 Numerical experiment

x_j	Numerical $U_n(x_j)$				Exact $u(x_j,t_n)$
	$k=0$		$k=1$		
	$N=80$	$N=128$	$N=80$	$N=128$	
0.1	0.20970	0.21831	0.22348	0.22346	0.22345
0.2	0.42308	0.43423	0.43586	0.43582	0.43580
0.3	0.61420	0.62649	0.62519	0.62514	0.62512
0.4	0.76952	0.78073	0.77780	0.77774	0.77772
0.5	0.87720	0.87725	0.87728	0.87728	0.87728
0.6	0.90498	0.90455	0.90434	0.90422	0.90425
0.7	0.84415	0.83779	0.83703	0.83686	0.83692
0.8	0.67185	0.65537	0.65742	0.65724	0.65731
0.9	0.38669	0.35776	0.36584	0.36573	0.36575

Table 1: Comparison of the numerical solutions obtained with different weak finite element space S_h and number of basis elements for $\nu = 0.1$, $\tau = 0.0001$ at $t_n = 0.1$ with the exact solutions.

In this section, we provide two numerical examples to confirm our theoretical analysis.

5.1 Example 1

We first consider the problem defined by (1) with initial condition

$$g(x) = \sin(\pi x), \quad x \in [0,1].$$



Figure 1: The numerical solutions by the WG finite element method with $k = 1$, $N = 80$, and $\tau = 0.00001$ at different times for $\nu = 0.01$.



Figure 2: The numerical solutions by the WG finite element method with $k = 1$, $N = 80$, and $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 0.5$ for $\nu = 0.1$, $\nu = 0.05$, $\nu = 0.01$, $\nu = 0.005$.

x_j	t_n	$\nu = 0.1$		$\nu = 0.01$	
		Numerical	Exact	Numerical	Exact
		$U_n(x_j)$	$u(x_j, t_n)$	$U_n(x_j)$	$u(x_j, t_n)$
0.25	0.4	0.30892	0.30889	0.34194	0.34191
	0.6	0.24076	0.24074	0.26899	0.26896
	0.8	0.19569	0.19568	0.22150	0.22148
	1.0	0.16257	0.16256	0.18821	0.18819
0.5	0.4	0.56966	0.56963	0.66074	0.66071
	0.6	0.44723	0.44721	0.52946	0.52942
	0.8	0.35926	0.35924	0.43917	0.43914
	1.0	0.29194	0.29192	0.37445	0.37442
0.75	0.4	0.62542	0.62544	0.91021	0.91026
	0.6	0.48723	0.48721	0.76726	0.76724
	0.8	0.37394	0.37392	0.64743	0.64740
	1.0	0.28750	0.28747	0.55609	0.55605

Table 2: Comparison of the numerical solutions obtained for various values of ν and $N = 80$, $\tau = 0.0001$ at different times with the exact solutions.

The exact solution to this problem can be expressed as an infinite series

$$u(x,t) = 2\pi\nu \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-n^2\pi^2\nu t) n \sin(n\pi x)}{a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-n^2\pi^2\nu t)\cos(n\pi)},$$

where the Fourier coefficients are defined by

$$a_0 = \int_0^1 \exp(-\frac{1-\cos(\pi x)}{2\pi\nu})dx,$$

and

$$a_n = 2\int_0^1 \exp(-\frac{1-\cos(\pi x)}{2\pi\nu})\cos(n\pi x)dx, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots .$$

In order to implement the WG finite element schemes, we first divide $I = [0, 1]$ into N uniform intervals with length $h = \frac{1}{N}$. Then, we take $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ in the weak finite element space S_h to carry out the WG finite element discretization (35), respectively.

For the purpose of confirmation, the numerical solutions of the Burgers' equation obtained for various ν values at different times have been compared with the exact solution. In Table 1, a comparison of numerical and exact solutions for $k = 0, 1$, $N = 80, 128$, $\nu = 0.1$, $\tau = 0.0001$ at $t_n = 0.1$ are shown. Table 2 shows a comparison of numerical and exact solutions for $k = 1$, $N = 80$, $\nu = 0.1, 0.01$, $\tau = 0.0001$ at $t_n = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0$. The profiles of the numerical solutions obtained by the WG finite element method with $k = 1$, $N = 80$, $\tau = 0.00001$, $\nu = 0.01$ at $t_n = 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ are shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the profiles of the numerical solutions obtained by the WG finite element method with $k = 1$, $N = 80$, $\tau = 0.00001$, $\nu = 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1$ at $t_n = 0.5$.

As expected, the numerical solutions obtained by the WG finite element method provide high accuracy.

5.2 Example 2



Figure 3: The convergence and error estimate of the WG finite element solution with $k = 0$, $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 1.0$ for $\nu = 0.1$.

In this example, we solve the Burgers' equation (1) with initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = 2\nu \frac{\pi \sin(\pi x)}{\sigma + \cos(\pi x)}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where $\sigma > 1$ is a parameter. Here the initial condition is chosen such that the closed form of the exact solution is available, see [28],

$$u(x, t) = \frac{2\nu\pi e^{-\pi^2 \nu t} \sin(\pi x)}{\sigma + e^{-\pi^2 \nu t} \cos(\pi x)}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$



Figure 4: The convergence and error estimate of the WG finite element solution with $k = 0$, $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 1.0$ for $\nu = 0.01$.



Figure 5: The convergence and error estimate of the WG finite element solution with $k = 1$, $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 1.0$ for $\nu = 0.01$.

Figure 3-6 show the convergence of the WG finite element method solutions obtained by $k = 0, 1$ and $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 1.0$ for $\nu = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001$. Clearly, it is shown that the WG finite element solution exhibits $k+1$ order convergence in discrete H^1-norm and L^2-norm, which are consistent with Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.



Figure 6: The convergence and error estimate of the WG finite element solution with $k = 1$, $\tau = 0.00001$ at $t_n = 1.0$ for $\nu = 0.001$.

References

[1] J. M. Burgers, A Mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence, Advances in Applied Mechanics, Academic Press, New York 1 (1948) 171-199.

[2] J.D. Cole, On a quasi-linear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynamics, Quart. J. Appl. Maths 9 (1951) 225-236.

[3] T. Öziş, E.N. Aksan, A. Özdeş, A finite element approach for solution of Burgers' equation, Appl. Math. Comput. 139 (2003) 417-428.

[4] D.J. Evans, A.R. Abdullah, The group explicit method for the solution of the Burgers' equation, Computing 32 (1984) 239-253.

[5] L. Shao, X.L. Feng, Y.N. He, The local discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for Burger's equation, Math. comp. model. 54 (2011) 2943-2954.

[6] JE. Varoglu, W.D.L. Finn, Space-time finite elements incorporating characteristics for the Burgers' equation, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 16 (1980) 171-184.

[7] K. Kakuda, N. Tosaka, The generalized boundary element approach to Burgers'equation, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 29 (1990) 245-261.

[8] E.N. Aksan, A. Özdeş, A numerical solution of Burgers' equation, Appl. Math. Comput. 156 (2003) 395-402.

[9] T. Öziş, Y. Aslan, The semi-approximate approach for solving Burgers' equation with high Reynolds number, Appl. Math. Comput. 163 (2005) 131-145.

[10] T. Öziş, A. Özdeş, A direct variational methods applied to Burgers' equation, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 71 (1996) 163-175.

[11] E. Varoglu, W.D.L. Finn, Space-time finite elements incorporating characteristics for the Burgers' equation, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng. 16 (1980) 171-184.

[12] J. Caldwell, P. Wanless, A.E. Cook, A finite element approach to Burgers' equation, Appl. Math. Modell. 5 (1981) 189-193.

[13] J. Caldwell, P. Wanless, B.L. Borrows, A practical application of variational-iterative schemes, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 13 (1980) 1117-1119.

[14] J.P., Wang, X. Ye, A weak Galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 241 (2013) 103-115.

[15] A. Harris, S. Harris, Superconvergence of weak Galerkin finite element approximation for second order elliptic problems by L2-projections, Appl. Math. Comp. 227 (2014) 610-621.

[16] Q.H. Li, J.P. Wang, Weak Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic equations, Numer. Meth. PDEs. 29 (2013) 2004-2024

[17] L. Mu, J.P. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Ye, A computational study of the weak Galerkin method for second order elliptic equations. Numer. Algor. 63 (2012) 753-777.

[18] L. Mu, J.P. Wang, G. Wei, X. Ye, S. Zhao, Weak Galerkin methods for second order elliptic interface problems. J. Comput. Phys. 250 (2013) 106-125.

[19] L. Mu, J.P. Wang, X. Ye, Weak Galerkin finite element methods for the biharmonic equation on polytopal meshes. Numer. Meth. PDEs. 30 (2014) 1003-1029.

[20] L. Mu, J.P. Wang, X. Ye, A weak Galerkin finite element method with polynomial reduction. J. Comp. Appl. Math. 285 (2015) 45-58.

[21] L. Mu, J.P. Wang, X. Ye, A modified weak Galerkin finite element method for the Stokes equations. J. Comp. Appl. Math. 275 (2015) 79-90.

[22] L. Chen, J.P. Wang, X. Ye, A posteriori error estimates for weak Galerkin finite element methods for second order elliptic problems. J. Sci. Comput. 6 (2014) 496-511.

[23] J.P. Wang, X. Ye, A weak Galerkin mixed finite element method for second-order elliptic problems. Math. Comp. 83 (2014) 2101-2126.

[24] X. Wang, N.S. Malluwawadu, F. Gao, T.C. McMillan, A modified weak Galerkin finite element method. J. Comp. Appl. Math. 271 (2014) 319-327.

[25] M. Yang, Couplings of mixed finite element and weak Galerkin methods for elliptic problems. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 47 (2015) 327-343.

[26] R. Zhang, Q.L. Li, A Weak Galerkin Finite Element Scheme for the Biharmonic Equations by Using Polynomials of Reduced Order. J. Sci. Comput. 64 (2015) 559-585.

[27] T. Zhang, L.X. Tang, A weak finite element method for elliptic problems in one space dimension. Appl. Math. Comp. 280 (2016) 1-10.

[28] W.L. Wood, An exact solution for Burgers equation. Commun. Numer. Methods Eng. 22 (2006) 797-798.